From: "Kramer" < kramer@randi.org>

Date: September 7, 2004 10:43:53 AM PDT

To: "Michael" <michael@theyfly.com>, <SKEPTICMAG@aol.com>, <Plejarens_are_real@yahoogroups.com>, "JREF" <challenge@randi.org>, "Dave Thomas" <nmsrdave@swcp.com>, <derek@iigwest.com>, "Vaughn Rees" <Vaughn@cfiwest.org>, "James Underdown" <jim@cfiwest.org> Subject: Re: [Plejarens_are_real] Re: Class is now in session

Danlar Tax "Vramor" deremor@randi ares

Reply-To: "Kramer" < kramer@randi.org>

To hear MH speak of TRUTH makes me fall off my chair in laughter.
---- Original Message -----

From: Michael

To: SKEPTICMAG@aol.com; Plejarens_are_real@yahoogroups.com; JREF; Dave Thomas; derek@iigwest.com; Vaughn Rees; James Underdown

Sent: Saturday, September 04, 2004 3:31 PM

Subject: Re: [Plejarens_are_real] Re: Class is now in session

Jim,

Thanks for so clearly and reasonably explaining those points. That Meier has long since tired of the amateur "debunkers" and their fearful and malicious efforts to prevent their paradigms from imploding is painfully obvious. Likewise, that they continue to attempt to slander persons associated with the case brands them forever as banal guttersnipes for whom truth is a nasty inconvenience.

As usual, no response, let alone rebuttal, to the clear, factual expository and conclusion that Mr. James Randi is a scurrilous liar and fraud.

MH

```
At 09:29 iì 3/9/2004, Michael wrote:
>Dave,
>
>You have eyes but you do not see. So, predictably, you miss the
>points...big time.
>
>You are left to your own illogic and self-inflicted, denial based,
>prejudicial "thinking".
>
>Michael Horn
```

>Authorized American Media Representative
>The Billy Meier Contacts
>www.theyfly.com

Dave, let me add my two cents here, also.

That science writer who wrote the article in:

http://www.ufo.net/ufodocs/text.documents/u/ufo-art1.txt wasn't allowing any alternative explanations to be heard. Wendelle Stevens

appears to have been under strict orders to not speak out on just what

happened that day, and it was, or would have been, simple for underground

military/governmental agents who were involved in keeping important UFO

events covered up or marginalized to drum up false charges to which Stevens

would have to plea-bargain in order to avoid a life sentence. All that most

of us know is that he had apparently allowed some neighbor girls to skinny

dip in the swimming pool in his back yard. He is not known to have been

involved in any sexual offenses before then, or since then. Only if a

science writer is ignorant about the reality of the UFO phenomenon and of

how seriously those in the government who are "in the know" feel about it,

would he not know their need to get some sort of hold over Stevens by which

they could falsely discredit him. This side of the story was entirely left out.

And Davidson used pejorative language such as unjustifiably calling Meier's

reports "tales"; he falsely stated that Meier had said that the UFOs he had

photographed resembled hub-caps, while it was some others

who said that,

who seemed never to wonder why the "hubcap" was nearly as wide as the width

of the abies-alba fir tree about which it had hovered and posed on all

sides, which fact Davidson, if he knew about it, stayed silent on; he said

that the tape-recorded (beamship) sounds by Meier (in the presence of many

witnesses to it and to its extremely great intensity) resembled sound

effects from old science-fiction films, which is patently untrue; and so

on. It just doesn't do science any justice to trot out a totally biased

account like that of Davidson's.

Regarding what Billy Meier was told about tachyons, or what he was told

about who-all have been contacted by ETs and who have not, don't forget

that there Billy is just repeating what he learned from his ETs. Some of

what he learned may have been misinformation, designed to be passed on to

skeptics who need

some items to latch onto with which they can debunk Billy, and which may

let them get by with ignoring the evidence indicating that his contacts did

occur, and that his photographic data and other data are very real.

So why confuse the events of Meier's contacts for which he collected

evidence of their presence with statements coming from his contactors? This

is another alternative that apparently did not occur to Keay Davidson --

that the key aliens involved in the UFO phenomenon are more

ethical than to

want to rush our science and society into any sudden realization of their

presence and reality. It is simple then for these ETs to outwit and dupe

those scientists who know no better than to assume that everything an ET

tells his contactee must be the truth or else it must represent lies

invented by the alleged contactee.

Concerning why Michael hasn't arranged for "some real testing of the

alleged alien

material(s)," I rather doubt that he would get Meier's permission for this.

That's because Meier did give quite a lot of material to Stevens for this

purpose, back around 1979-81, and if the test results from those years

weren't believed, he may feel, why would further tests be believed either?

Meier never received most of this material back, as it tended to get "lost"

one way or another. Some 25 years have since gone by, and Meier has, I

believe, long since stopped caring about any need to prove that his

contacts were and are real.

But then, if some way could be arranged for ensuring that a lab which would

do a comprehensive test would not be surreptitiously informed as to what it

was all about, and would honestly report its findings and not stay silent.

then who knows -- Meier might surprise me. But who could guarantee that the

lab(s) involved would not be informed on the side by one who wished to warn

them that their reputations could be in very serious jeopardy if they were to write out the truth of their findings? Just like Marcel's reputation was

probably besmirched by those who did not like what he had to say about the

alloy sample of Meier's that he analyzed.

Jim Deardorff

- > >> Class Is Now In Session
- > >>
- > >> Please be seated, class is now in session.
- > >> ...
- > >
- > > Well, Mike, I'm sorry if I touched a nerve by including
 the mention of
- > > Wendelle Stevens from the THE SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER
 article of June
- > > 24th, 1987. I didn't need to include that, but the article as a whole is
- > > quite relevant to the whole Billy Meier story, which was why I mentioned it
- > > earlier. It's online in its entirety here:
- > >
- > > http://www.ufo.net/ufodocs/text.documents/u/ufoart1.txt
- > >
- > > If you have a problem with what that article said about Stevens,
- > > perhaps you should take it up with the author, Keay Davidson, now at
- > the San
- > > Francisco Chronicle, "Keay Davidson"
 <kdavidson@sfchronicle.com>.
- > >
- > > As regards Meier's mention of tachyons, it's still a
 pretty dubious
- > > "proof." There's never been experimental confirmation
 of tachyons, and

- > > their very existence would call Einstein's relativity
 theory into
- > > question.
- > >
- > > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tachyon
- > >
- > > "The property of causality, a fundamental principle of theoretical
- > > particle physics, poses a problem for the physical
 existence of
 - > tachyons. If a
- > > tachyon were to exist and were allowed to interact with
 ordinary
- > > (time-like) matter, causality could be violated ... At
 the very least
- > > the principle of special relativity would have to be discarded."
- > >
- > > That's Meier's reported time happens to agree with a
 wild speculation
- > > from a physicist is not really very compelling, either. This is piling
- > > dubious on top of uncertainty.
- > >
- > > As for your attacks on my credentials, perhaps you'd be interested to
- > > know that, unlike you, I've actually been involved in the formal scientific
- > > testing of a purported UFO artifact. That was the Roswell "Fragment"
- > > of 1996, in which a man who moved to Roswell from Utah gave a piece of
- > > material to the UFO museum, telling them he'd been told
 it was from the
- > > Roswell crashed craft. When the museum people started
 thinking about
- > > how to test the artifact, I suggested a specific
 material test which could
- > > indicate if the specimen was compatible with
 earthly/solar system

- > > material properties, and the museum went ahead and acted on my
- > suggestion.
- > > They had some tests performed at Los Alamos National
 Labs for about
- > \$750.00.
- > > The specimen was earthly, as it turned out and later,
 it was found to be
- > > a piece of scrap filched from a Utah artist's studio.
- > >
- > > The point is, the museum did the right thing, had some
 real tests
- > > done, and learned about their specimen.
- > >
- > > You could do that too, Mike, if your self-proclaimed
 status of
- > > "authorized American media representative for the Meier
 material" is
 - > genuine and
- > > actually GOOD for something. If you are so authorized,
 then why
- > > haven't you hustled the material to a lab as fast as
 you can? If it's
 - > Randi's
- > > outfit that you're afraid of, I can get you in contact
 with LANL
- > > scientists who have the equipment for such testing.
 There may be a
 - > fee, of
- > > course, but it's slow at LANL with the classified shutdown, so this
- > might be an
- > > ideal time for such a project.
- > >
- > > If you really want to get the attention of the world's scientists, why
- > > don't you try helping to arrange some real testing of the alleged alien
- > > material(s)???? If not at JREF or IIG, somewwhere?
 (Sandia /Los

- > > Alamos?)Why do you try to change the subject with
 megabytes asnd
- > > megabytes
- > > of arrogant and condescending rants????
- > >
- > > That, Mike, is the \$1,000,000 question!
- > >
- > > Sincerely, Dave Thomas